Null Dust Solution in Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

O. Goldoni [1],* M. F. A. da Silva [1],† R. Chan [2],‡ V. H. Satheeshkumar[1],§ and Jaime F. Villas da Rocha [3]¶
[1] *Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã 20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil.*
[2] *Coordenação de Astronomia e Astrofísica, Observatório Nacional, Rua General José Cristino, 77, São Cristóvão 20921-400, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.*
[3] *Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Ciências Naturais, Av. Pasteur 458, Urca, CEP 22290-240, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.*
(Dated: November 22, 2016)

Non-stationary null dust in a spherically symmetric spacetime is studied in the context of a general-covariant Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. The non-minimal coupling to matter is considered in the infrared limit. The aim of this paper is to see if a general relativistic spacetime of a null dust-like fluid can be a solution of Hořava-Lifshitz theory in the infrared limit. We have shown that this solution is static. This solution represents a Minkowski spacetime since the energy density is null. In order to obtain the Minkowski spacetime we have to vanish one of the arbitrary constants.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd; 98.80.-k; 98.80.Bp

arXiv:1603.00782v3 [gr-qc] 21 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Our current best description of gravity is provided by Einstein's General Relativity (GR) proposed exactly a century ago. While this is a classical field theory, the other three fundamental interactions are quantum field theories. The construction of a quantum theory of gravitation is one of the unsolved problems in modern physics. The biggest challenge is the lack of any observational or experimental results for quantum effects of gravity. For this reason, the criteria that a new candidate theory of quantum gravity (if it is really necessary to complete the description of the Nature) must fulfill are constrained by mathematical self-consistency, ability to reproduce observable results confirming General Relativity, and finally, making non-trivial predictions that may possibly be tested in near future.

The most recent such candidate for quantum gravity is Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) theory [1], inspired by ideas from condensed matter physics [2]. This has drawn a lot of interest primarily because it can overcome the perturbative non-renormalizability of quantized Einstein gravity. This is accomplished at the expense of breaking Lorentz invariance at very high energies, while restoring it at low energies. Unfortunately, the original formulation of HL theory suffered from a number of serious problems (see [3] for more details) which led to the development of various non-trivial modifications [4–7]. One of the promising modifications is the one without the so called projectability condition and with an additional Abelian gauge symmetry, which in particular solves the problem of unphysical scalar graviton [8, 9]. Due to the extensive works in this area, we will not be able to cite all the important papers, but we suggest the reader to reference [10] and the references therein.

In order to establish the physical relevance of HL gravity, it is very important to check that it reproduces the known physically feasible properties of standard GR at low energies. To this end, the black holes and the gravitational collapse were investigated in [11]. Lin *et al* [12], have proposed a universal coupling between the gravity and matter in the framework of HL theory with an extra U(1) symmetry for both the projectable and non-projectable cases. Using this universal coupling they have studied the PPN approximations and obtained the PPN parameters in terms of the coupling constants of the theory, in order to restrain these parameters of the theory to obtain the solar test. Following this, we have checked whether the non-projectable version of HL gravity with the extra U(1) gauge symmetry admits Vaidya-type solutions in the low energy limit [13], without any coupling with matter. The answer is negative and we have also shown that there is not an analogy of the Vaidya's solution in the HL theory without projectability and with minimal coupling [14]. This led us to an important conclusion that in order to establish consistency with GR at low energies, the gauge field associated with the extra U(1) gauge symmetry of the enlarged non-projectable HL gravity should have some interaction with the pure radiation/matter generating the Vaidya spacetime geometry. On the other hand, we have also studied non-stationary dust spherically symmetric spacetime, in projectable version of general covariant theory of the HL theory, with non-minimal coupling with matter, in the infrared limit [15]. We have shown that this solution admits a process of gravitational collapse, leaving a singularity at the end. This solution has, qualitatively, the same temporal be-

*Electronic address: otaviosama@gmail.com
†Electronic address: mfasnic@gmail.com
‡Electronic address: chan@on.br
§Electronic address: vhsatheeshkumar@gmail.com
¶Electronic address: jfvroch@pq.cnpq.br

havior as the dust collapse in GR. However, we have also found a second possible solution, representing an expansion behavior that is not found in GR.

Considering the previous results, our goal in this present work is to revisit the null dust solution in the projectable case of HL theory, but without using the Vaidya's spacetime. We have chosen a null dust fluid because it is the simplest null fluid that we can have. Besides, we would like to know what would be the difference from our previous work [15] with non-null dust fluid. The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we present a brief introduction to HL theory with coupling with matter [12]. In Section III, we study the null dust solution in the infrared limit. In Section IV, we discuss the results.

II. GENERAL COVARIANT HOŘAVA-LIFSHITZ GRAVITY WITH COUPLING WITH THE MATTER

In this section, we shall give a very brief introduction to the general covariant HL theory of gravity with the non-minimal coupling to matter. For more details, we refer the readers to [8, 9, 12].

A line element in the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) form is given by [16],

$$ds^2 = -N^2dt^2 + g_{ij}\left(dx^i + N^i dt\right)\left(dx^j + N^j dt\right), \quad (i,\ j = 1,2,3), \tag{1}$$

where the non-projectability condition implies that the lapse is a function of spacetime, $N \equiv N(t, x^i)$.

In reference [12], Lin *et al.* proposed that, in the IR limit, it is possible to have matter fields universally coupled to the ADM components through the transformations

$$\tilde{N} = FN, \quad \tilde{N}^i = N^i + Ng^{ij}\nabla_j\varphi, \qquad \tilde{g}_{ij} = \Omega^2 g_{ij}, \tag{2}$$

with

$$F = 1 - a_1\sigma, \quad \Omega = 1 - a_2\sigma, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{A - \mathcal{A}}{N}, \qquad \mathcal{A} \equiv -\dot{\varphi} + N^i\nabla_i\varphi + \frac{1}{2}N\left(\nabla^i\varphi\right)\left(\nabla_i\varphi\right), \tag{4}$$

and where A and φ are the gauge field and the Newtonian prepotential, respectively, and a_1 and a_2 are two arbitrary coupling constants. Note that by setting the first terms in F and Ω to unity, we have used the freedom to rescale the units of time and space. We also have

$$\tilde{N}_i = \Omega^2\left(N_i + N\nabla_i\varphi\right), \quad \tilde{g}^{ij} = \Omega^{-2}g^{ij}. \tag{5}$$

In terms of these newly defined quantities, the matter action can be written as

$$S_m = \int dtd^3x\tilde{N}\sqrt{\tilde{g}}\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m\left(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}_i, \tilde{g}_{ij}; \psi_n\right), \tag{6}$$

where ψ_n collectively stands for all matter fields. One can then define the matter stress-energy in the ADM decomposition, with the non-minimal coupling. The different components are given by (for the details see [12])

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_H(GR) &= T_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu \equiv J^t = -\frac{\delta(\tilde{N}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m)}{\delta(\tilde{N})} \\
S^i(GR) &= -T_{\mu\nu}h^{(4)i\mu}n^\nu \equiv J^i = \frac{\delta(\tilde{N}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m)}{\delta(\tilde{N}_i)} \\
S^{ij}(GR) &= T_{\mu\nu}h^{(4)i\mu}h^{(4)j\nu} \equiv \\
&\qquad \tau^{ij} = \frac{2}{\tilde{N}\sqrt{\tilde{g}}}\frac{\delta(\tilde{N}\sqrt{\tilde{g}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m)}{\delta(\tilde{g}_{ij})},
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where ${h^{(4)}}_{\mu\nu}$ is the projection operator, defined as ${h^{(4)}}_{\mu\nu} \equiv {g^{(4)}}_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu$ and n^μ is the normal vector to the hypersurface t = constant, defined as $n^\mu = \frac{1}{N}(-1, N^i)$. The prime and dot denote the partial differentiation with respect to the coordinate r and t, respectively.

The total action of the theory can be written as,

$$S = \zeta^2\int dtd^3x\sqrt{g}N\Big(\mathcal{L}_K - \mathcal{L}_V + \mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_S + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\mathcal{L}_M\Big), \tag{8}$$

where $g = \det(g_{ij})$, N is given in the equation (1) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_K &= K_{ij}K^{ij} - \lambda K^2, \\
\mathcal{L}_V &= \gamma_0\zeta^2 - \Big(\beta_0 a_i a^i - \gamma_1 R\Big) + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\Big(\gamma_2 R^2 + \gamma_3 R_{ij}R^{ij}\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\Big[\beta_1\left(a_i a^i\right)^2 + \beta_2\left({a^i}_i\right)^2 + \beta_3\left(a_i a^i\right){a^j}_j \\
&\quad + \beta_4 a^{ij}a_{ij} + \beta_5\left(a_i a^i\right)R + \beta_6 a_i a_j R^{ij} + \beta_7 R{a^i}_i\Big] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta^4}\Big[\gamma_5 c_{ij}C^{ij} + \beta_8\left(\Delta a^i\right)^2\Big], \\
\mathcal{L}_A &= -\frac{AR}{N}, \\
\mathcal{L}_\varphi &= \varphi\mathcal{G}^{ij}\left(2K_{ij} + \nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi + a_i\nabla_j\varphi\right) \\
&\quad + (1-\lambda)\Big[\left(\Delta\varphi + a_i\nabla^i\varphi\right)^2 + 2\left(\Delta\varphi + a_i\nabla^i\varphi\right)K\Big] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijlk}\Big[4\left(\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi\right)a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi \\
&\quad + 5\left(a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi\right)a_{(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi + 2\left(\nabla_{(i}\varphi\right)a_{j)(k}\nabla_{l)}\varphi \\
&\quad + 6K_{ij}a_{(l}\nabla_{k)}\varphi\Big], \\
\mathcal{L}_S &= \sigma(\sigma_1 a^i a_i + \sigma_2{a^i}_i),
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi G}, \tag{10}$$

where G denotes the Newtonian constant, $\mathcal{L}_M$ is the Lagrangian of matter fields, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijlk} = g^{il}g^{jk} - g^{ij}g^{kl}$ [18]. Here $\Delta \equiv g^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$ and all the coefficients, β_n and γ_n, are dimensionless and arbitrary. c_{ij} denotes the Cotton tensor, defined by

$$C^{ij} = \frac{e^{ikl}}{\sqrt{g}}\nabla_k\Big(R^j_l - \frac{1}{4}R\delta^j_l\Big), \tag{11}$$

with $e^{123} = 1$. Using the Bianchi identities, one can show that $c_{ij}C^{ij}$ can be written in terms of the five independent sixth-order derivative terms in the form

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij}C^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}R^3 - \frac{5}{2}RR_{ij}R^{ij} + 3R^i_jR^j_kR^k_i + \frac{3}{8}R\Delta R \\ &\quad + (\nabla_iR_{jk})\left(\nabla^iR^{jk}\right) + \nabla_kG^k, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$G^k = \frac{1}{2}R^{jk}\nabla_jR - R_{ij}\nabla^jR^{ik} - \frac{3}{8}R\nabla^kR. \tag{13}$$

The Ricci and Riemann tensors R_{ij} and $R^i{}_{jkl}$ all refer to the 3-metric g_{ij}, with $R_{ij} = R^k{}_{ikj}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= g_{ik}R_{jl} + g_{jl}R_{ik} - g_{jk}R_{il} - g_{il}R_{jk} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}\right)R, \\ K_{ij} &\equiv \frac{1}{2N}\left(-\dot{g}_{ij} + \nabla_iN_j + \nabla_jN_i\right), \\ \mathcal{G}_{ij} &\equiv R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R, \\ a_i &\equiv \frac{N_{,i}}{N}, \quad a_{ij} \equiv \nabla_ja_i, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where N_i is defined in the ADM form of the metric [16], given by equation (1).

The variations of the action S given by Eq.(8) with respect to N and N^i give rise to the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints,

$$\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_V + F_V - F_\varphi - F_\lambda + \mathcal{H}_S = 8\pi GJ^t, \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^i_S + \nabla_j\Big\{&\pi^{ij} - (1-\lambda)g^{ij}\left(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi\right) \\ &- \varphi\mathcal{G}^{ij} - \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}a_l\nabla_k\varphi\Big\} = 8\pi GJ^i, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_S &= \frac{2\sigma_1}{N}\nabla_i\left[a^i\left(A - \mathcal{A}\right)\right] - \frac{\sigma_2}{N}\nabla^2\left(A - \mathcal{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}a_S\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi, \\ M^i_S &= -\frac{1}{2}a_S\nabla^i\varphi, \\ J^i &= -N\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta N_i}, \quad J^t = 2\frac{\delta(N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta N}, \\ \pi^{ij} &= -K^{ij} + \lambda Kg^{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with

$$a_S = \sigma_1a_ia^i + \sigma_2a^i_i, \tag{18}$$

and F_V, F_φ and F_λ are given in the Appendix A of the reference [15].

Variations of action S given by Eq.(8) with respect to φ and A yield, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^{ij}(2K_{ij} + \nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi + a_{(i}\nabla_{j)}\varphi) \\ &+\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\mathcal{G}^{ij}\nabla_j\nabla_i(N\varphi) - \mathcal{G}^{ij}\nabla_j(N\varphi a_i)\Big\} \\ &-\frac{1}{N}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{ijkl}\Big\{\nabla_{(k}(a_{l)})NK_{ij}) + \frac{2}{3}\nabla_{(k}(a_{l)}N\nabla_i\nabla_j\varphi) \\ &-\frac{2}{3}\nabla_{(j}\nabla_{i)}(Na_{(l}\nabla_{k)}\varphi) + \frac{5}{3}\nabla_j(Na_ia_k\nabla_l\varphi) \\ &+\frac{2}{3}\nabla_j(Na_{ik}\nabla_l\varphi)\Big\} + \Sigma_S \\ &+\frac{1-\lambda}{N}\Big\{\nabla^2\left[N(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi)\right] \\ &-\nabla^i[N(\nabla^2\varphi + a_k\nabla^k\varphi)a_i] \\ &+\nabla^2(NK) - \nabla^i(NKa_i)\Big\} = 8\pi GJ_\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_S &= -\frac{1}{2N}\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\sqrt{g}a_S\right] \\ &\quad -\nabla_k\left[\left(N^k + N\nabla^k\varphi\right)a_S\right]\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and

$$R - a_S = 8\pi GJ_A, \tag{21}$$

where

$$J_\varphi = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\varphi}, \quad J_A = 2\frac{\delta(N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta A}. \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, the variation of the action S given by Eq.(8) with respect to g_{ij} yields the dynamical equations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sqrt{g}\pi^{ij}\right) + 2(K^{ik}K^j_k - \lambda KK^{ij}) \\ &-\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_K + \frac{1}{N}\nabla_k(\pi^{ik}N^j + \pi^{kj}N^i - \pi^{ij}N^k) \\ &+F^{ij} - F^{ij}_S - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_S + F^{ij}_a - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\mathcal{L}_A + F^{ij}_\varphi \\ &-\frac{1}{N}(AR^{ij} + g^{ij}\nabla^2A - \nabla^j\nabla^iA) = 8\pi G\tau^{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\tau^{ij} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g}N}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{g}N\mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{ij}}, \tag{24}$$

and F^{ij}, F_S^{ij}, F_a^{ij} and F_φ^{ij} are given in the Appendix A of the reference [15]. Hereinafter, we will assume that the constant $8\pi G = 1$.

From reference [12], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{N} &= \tilde{N}(N, N_i, g_{ij}, A, \varphi),\\ \tilde{N}_i &= \tilde{N}_i(N, N_i, g_{ij}, A, \varphi),\\ \tilde{g}_{ij} &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(N, N_i, g_{ij}, A, \varphi).\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Thus,

$$J^t = 2\Omega^3\left\{-\rho\frac{\delta\tilde{N}}{\delta N} + \frac{\delta\tilde{N}_i}{\delta N}S^i + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{N}\frac{\delta\tilde{g}_{ij}}{\delta N}S^{ij}\right\}. \tag{26}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}J^i &= -\Omega^3\left\{-\rho\frac{\delta\tilde{N}}{\delta N_i} + \frac{\delta\tilde{N}_k}{\delta N_i}S^k + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{N}\frac{\delta\tilde{g}_{kl}}{\delta N_i}S^{kl}\right\},\\ \tau^{ij} &= \frac{2\Omega^3}{N}\left\{-\rho\frac{\delta\tilde{N}}{\delta g_{ij}} + \frac{\delta\tilde{N}_k}{\delta g_{ij}}S^k + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{N}\frac{\delta\tilde{g}_{kl}}{\delta g_{ij}}S^{kl}\right\},\\ J_A &= 2\Omega^3\left\{-\rho\frac{\delta\tilde{N}}{\delta A} + \frac{\delta\tilde{N}_k}{\delta A}S^k + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{N}\frac{\delta\tilde{g}_{kl}}{\delta A}S^{kl}\right\},\\ J_\varphi &= -\frac{1}{N}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\left(B\sqrt{g}\right)_{,t} - \nabla_i\Big[B\left(N^i + N\nabla^i\varphi\right)\Big]\right.\\ &\qquad\left. - \nabla_i\left(N\Omega^5 S^i\right)\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}B \equiv -\Omega^3\Big\{&a_1\rho - \frac{2a_2\left(1-a_2\sigma\right)}{N}S^k\left(N_k + N\nabla_k\varphi\right)\\ &-a_2\left(1-a_1\sigma\right)\left(1-a_2\sigma\right)g_{ij}S^{ij}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Note that, if we use the projectable case of HL theory then $\mathcal{L}_S = 0$, $M_S^i = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_S = 0$, $\Sigma_S = 0$ and $a_S = 0$. In order to have these quantities in HL theory, it is only necessary the non-projectability condition [12].

III. NULL FLUID SOLUTION

For a general spherically spacetime filled with dust in the GR [17], the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2\Phi(r,t)}dr^2 + \mathcal{R}(r,t)^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2). \tag{29}$$

Note also that this metric is a projectable one, thus we will use the projectable case of HL theory, now on.

The energy-momentum tensor for a null fluid is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho l_\mu l_\nu, \tag{30}$$

where the null vector l_μ is given by

$$l_\mu = \delta^t_\mu + e^\Phi\delta^r_\mu. \tag{31}$$

The projection tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu, \tag{32}$$

where $n_\mu = \delta^t_\mu$ thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}h^t_t &= 0,\\ h^r_t &= 0,\\ h^t_r &= 0,\\ h^r_r &= 1,\\ h^\theta_\theta &= h^\phi_\phi = 1.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Let us now analyze the case where it exists a non-minimal coupling with matter [12]. In this case we have the following conditions,

$$\gamma_1 = -1, \tag{34}$$
$$a_1 = 1, \tag{35}$$
$$a_2 = 0, \tag{36}$$
$$F = 1 - A, \tag{37}$$
$$\Omega = 1, \tag{38}$$
$$\tilde{N} = 1 - A, \tag{39}$$
$$\tilde{N}^i = N^i, \tag{40}$$
$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}, \tag{41}$$
$$\mathcal{A} = 0, \tag{42}$$
$$\sigma = A, \tag{43}$$
$$\tag{44}$$

Besides, from equations (26)-(28) we have

$$J^t = -2\rho, \tag{45}$$

$$J^i = 2\rho e^{-\Phi}\delta^i_r, \tag{46}$$

$$\tau^{ij} = (1-A)\rho e^{-2\Phi}\delta^i_r\delta^j_r, \tag{47}$$

$$J_A = 2\rho, \tag{48}$$

$$J_\varphi = \dot{\rho} + \rho\dot{\Phi} + 2\rho\frac{\dot{R}}{R} - 2e^\Phi(\rho' + \rho\Phi'), \tag{49}$$

where we have substituted $B = -\rho$.

In order to be consistent with observations in the infrared limit [12], we assume that

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = \beta_8 = \beta_9 = 0,$$

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = \gamma_5 = \gamma_6 = \gamma_7 = \gamma_8 = \gamma_9 = 0.$$

Thus, we have the vanishing of the cosmological constant, as follows

$$\Lambda_g \equiv \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2\gamma_0 = 0.$$

Let us impose that the metric functions have coordinate separation, in such way that we can write

$$\Phi(r,t) = \log\left(F(t)G(r)\right), \tag{50}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(r,t) = S(t)H(t). \tag{51}$$

Combining equations (45)-(49) and (14)-(24) we have

$$\rho \;=\; 2\frac{G'H'}{G^3F^2H} - 2\frac{H''}{F^2G^2H} - \frac{H'^2}{F^2G^2H^2} + \frac{1}{S^2H^2}. \tag{52}$$

Using the equation (52) and the equations (7)-(28) we have that

$$-2\frac{H'\dot{S}}{SH} + 2\frac{H'\dot{F}}{FH} + 4\frac{G'H'}{G^5F^4H} - \frac{4H''}{G^4F^4H} - 2\frac{H'^2}{G^4F^4H^2} + 2\frac{1}{G^2F^2H^2S^2} = 0, \tag{53}$$

$$\frac{\dot{F}^2}{F^2} - \lambda\frac{\dot{F}^2}{F^2} + 2\frac{\dot{F}}{FH^2S^2} - 4\frac{\dot{F}\lambda}{FH^2S^2} - 4\lambda\frac{\dot{S}\dot{F}}{FS} = 0, \tag{54}$$

$$-2\frac{\dot{S}G'H'}{G^3F^2SH} + 3\frac{\dot{S}H''}{G^2F^2SH} - \lambda\frac{H''\dot{S}}{G^2F^2SH} - 2\frac{\dot{F}H'^2}{G^2F^3H^2} + 2\frac{H'^2\dot{S}}{G^2F^2SH^2} + 2\frac{\dot{F}G'H'}{G^3F^3H} - 2\frac{\dot{F}H''}{G^2F^3H} + 4\frac{G''H'}{G^2FH} - 2\frac{G'H'^2}{G^2FH^2} - 4\frac{H'''}{GFH} + 2\frac{FG'}{S^2H^2} - 8\frac{G'^2H'}{G^3FH} + 8\frac{G'H''}{G^2FH} + 4\frac{H'^3}{GFH^3} - 4\frac{GFH'}{S^2H^3} = 0. \tag{55}$$

Finally, from the dynamical equations (23), combined with the second equation of (27), we get

$$D^{rr} - \tau^{rr} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{G^5F^4S^2H^2}\times (-2G^3S^2H^2\ddot{F}F + 2G^3S^2H^2\dot{F}^2 - G^3\dot{F}^2 - 4G^3\dot{F}\dot{S}H^2SF + 2G^3\lambda S^2H^2\ddot{F}F - 2G^3\lambda S^2H^2\dot{F}^2 + \lambda G^3\dot{F}^2 + 4\lambda\dot{S}\dot{F}G^3FH^2S + 4GA'S^2H'H - 4H'^2GS^2 + 4G^3F^2 + 4GAS^2H'^2 - 4AF^2G^3 + 4G^3F^2\ddot{S}H^2\lambda S + 2G^3F^2\dot{S}^2H^2 + 4G'H'HS^2 - 4H''GHS^2 - 4AG'H'HS^2 + 4AH''GHS^2) = 0, \tag{56}$$

$$D^{\theta\theta} - \tau^{\theta\theta} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{G^3F^2S^3H^3}\times (2A''SHG - 2A'G'SH + 2A'SH'G - 2G'SH'A + 2G'SH' + 2G^3\lambda SH\ddot{F}F - G^3\dot{F}^2\lambda SH + G^3\dot{F}^2SH + 4FG^3\dot{F}SH'\lambda - 2FG^3\dot{F}\dot{S}H + 2SH''AG - 2SH''G + 4G^3F^2\ddot{S}H\lambda - 2G^3F^2\ddot{S}H) = 0, \tag{57}$$

and

$$D^{\phi\phi} = \tau^{\phi\phi} = D^{\theta\theta}\sin^2\theta. \tag{58}$$

Using Maple we have solved equations (53), (54), (55), (56) and (57) simultaneously, given us

$$F(t) = c_1, \tag{59}$$

$$S(t) = c_2, \tag{60}$$

$$G(r) = s\frac{\sqrt{(Hc_1^2 + c_3c_2^2)H}H'c_2}{Hc_1^2 + c_3c_2^2}, \tag{61}$$

$$A(r,t) = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{Hc_1^2 + c_3c_2^2}F_1(t)}{\sqrt{H}}, \tag{62}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary constants, $F_1(t)$ is an arbitrary function of time and $s = \pm 1$.

Substituting equation (59), (60) and (61) into equations (29) and (52) we have finally

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + s^2\frac{c_1^2HH'^2c_2^2}{Hc_1^2 + c_3c_2^2}dr^2 + c_2^2H^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2). \tag{63}$$

$$\rho = \frac{s^2 - 1}{H^2c_2^2s^2} = 0, \tag{64}$$

since $s = \pm 1$. Since the energy density is null, this might represent a Minkowski spacetime.

Making a coordinate transformation, such as $\mathbf{r} = c_2H$ we obtain

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{c_1^2\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}c_1^2 + c_3c_2^3}d\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2). \tag{65}$$

Notice that if $c_3 \neq 0$ then we have a static non Minkowski spacetime, otherwise we recover the Minkowski spacetime. Assuming that

$$r_s = -\frac{c_3c_2^2}{c_1^2}, \tag{66}$$

we can rewrite equation (65) as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r} - r_s}d\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2). \tag{67}$$

Thus, the quantity $A(\mathbf{r},t)$ is given by

$$A(\mathbf{r},t) = 1 + c_1\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{\mathbf{r}}}F_1(t). \tag{68}$$

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE SOLUTION IN GR

Let us now analyze this static solution using the General Relativity theory. Using the metric given by equation (63), we can calculate the Ricci scalar that is given by

$$R = 0. \tag{69}$$

Thus, since the Ricci scalar is zero, this might be a Minkowski spacetime. In order to be sure that we have obtained a Minkowski spacetime, we would have to calculate more invariant scalars. The Kretschmann scalar give us

$$K = \frac{6r_s^2}{\mathbf{r}^6}. \tag{70}$$

Note that K vanishes when $r_s = 0$ (Minkowski spacetime) and diverges at $\mathbf{r} = 0$.

If we assume that the interior energy-momentum tensor of an anisotropic fluid is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = (\mu + p_t)u_\alpha u_\beta + p_t g_{\alpha\beta} + (p_r - p_t)X_\alpha X_\beta, \tag{71}$$

where μ is the energy density of the fluid, p_r is the radial pressure, p_t is the tangential pressure, X_α is an unit four-vector along the radial direction, u^α is the four-velocity, which have to satisfy $X_\alpha X^\alpha = 1$, $X_\alpha u^\alpha = 0$. Since we utilize comoving coordinates we have that $u^\alpha = \delta^\alpha_0$. If we use a comoving reference we have

$$\mu = 0, \tag{72}$$

$$p_r = \frac{r_s}{\mathbf{r}^2}, \tag{73}$$

$$p_t = \frac{r_s}{2\mathbf{r}^3}. \tag{74}$$

Since the energy density is null, this might represent a Minkowski spacetime. However, the radial and tangential pressure are not zero and they dependent on the radial coordinate and on the arbitrary integration constants. If we choose $c_3 = 0$, i.e., $r_s = 0$ then $p_r = 0$ and $p_t = 0$. Only in this case the metric would be the Minkowski spacetime. On the other hand, if $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ and $c_3 \neq 0$, i.e., $r_s \neq 0$ then we maybe have obtained one possible solution of the vacuum in the HL theory different of the Minkowski spacetime.

In order to verify that is this case, let us utilize a non comoving coordinates. Thus we have that $u^\alpha = k(-\delta^\alpha_t + f\delta^\alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$, where f is a constant and $k = \sqrt{(\mathbf{r} - r_s)/(\mathbf{r} - r_s - f^2\mathbf{r})}$ is the normalization factor, assuming that $u_\alpha u^\alpha = -1$. Thus, we can obtain that the non null components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_{tt} = k^2(\mu + p_t) - p_t, \tag{75}$$

$$T_{\mathbf{rr}} = (\mu + p_t)\frac{k^2 f^2 \mathbf{r}^2}{(\mathbf{r} - r_s)^2} + \frac{p_t}{\mathbf{r} - r_s} + p_r - p_t, \tag{76}$$

$$T_{t\mathbf{r}} = T_{\mathbf{r}t} = (\mu + p_t)\frac{k^2 f\mathbf{r}}{(\mathbf{r} - r_s)}, \tag{77}$$

$$T_{\theta\theta} = \mathbf{r}^2 p_t, \tag{78}$$

$$T_{\phi\phi} = \sin^2\theta\, T_{\theta\theta}. \tag{79}$$

Calculating the Einstein tensor for the metric (65) we get the non null components

$$G_{tt} = 0, \tag{80}$$

$$G_{\mathbf{rr}} = \frac{r_s}{(r_s - \mathbf{r})\mathbf{r}^2}, \tag{81}$$

$$G_{\theta\theta} = \frac{r_s}{2\mathbf{r}}, \tag{82}$$

$$G_{\phi\phi} = \sin^2\theta\, G_{\theta\theta}. \tag{83}$$

Assuming that $8\pi G/c = 1$, where G is the gravitational constant and c is the speed of light and using the Einstein field equations, we have obtained,

$$k^2\mu + p_t(k^2 - 1) = 0, \tag{84}$$

$$\frac{k^2 f^2(\mu + p_t)}{(\mathbf{r} - r_s)^2} + \frac{p_t}{\mathbf{r} - r_s} + \frac{p_r - p_t}{\mathbf{r} - r_s} - \frac{r_s}{(\mathbf{r} - r_s)\mathbf{r}^2} = 0, \tag{85}$$

$$\frac{k^2 f(\mu + p_t)}{\mathbf{r} - r_s} = 0, \tag{86}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^2 p_t - \frac{r_s}{2\mathbf{r}} = 0. \tag{87}$$

Solving simultaneously the equations (84), (85) and (87) we get

$$\mu = -\frac{r_s f^2}{2\mathbf{r}^2(\mathbf{r} - r_s)}, \tag{88}$$

$$p_r = \frac{r_s(2r_s + f^2\mathbf{r} - 2\mathbf{r})}{2\mathbf{r}^2(\mathbf{r} - r_s)}, \tag{89}$$

$$p_t = \frac{r_s}{2\mathbf{r}^3}. \tag{90}$$

Substituting these solutions into equation (86) we have

$$\frac{r_s f}{2\mathbf{r}^2(\mathbf{r} - r_s)} = 0. \tag{91}$$

This equation show us that if $f \neq 0$ then we must have $r_s = 0$. Thus, the unique possible solution we have is the Minkowski spacetime.

V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the spherically symmetric spacetime filled with a null dust fluid in the framework of general covariant HL theory with the non-minimal coupling [12], in the infrared limit. We have analyzed if such a solution can be described in the general covariant HL theory of gravity [8, 9]. We have found a solution that is static. This solution represents a Minkowski spacetime since the energy density is null. In order to obtain the Minkowski spacetime we have to vanish one of the arbitrary constants.

Acknowledgments

The financial assistance from FAPERJ/UERJ (MFAS) are gratefully acknowledged. The author (RC) acknowledges the financial support from FAPERJ (no. E-26/171.754/2000, E-26/171.533/2002, E-26/170.951/2006, E-26/110.432/2009 and E-26/111.714/2010). The authors (RC and MFAS) also acknowledge the financial support from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq - Brazil (no. 450572/2009-9, 301973/2009-1 and 477268/2010-2). The author (MFAS) also acknowledges the financial support from Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos - FINEP - Brazil (Ref. 2399/03). The authors (OG, VHS) thank the financial support from CAPES/Science without Borders (no. A 045/2013). It is our pleasure to thank Dr. Anzhong Wang for helpful discussions and comments about this work.

[1] P. Hořava, JHEP, **0903**, 020 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0812.4287; Phys. Rev. D**79**, 084008 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0901.3775; Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 161301 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0902.3657.
[2] E.M. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Toer. Fiz., **11**, 255 (1941).
[3] T. P. Sotiriou, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **283**, 012034 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3218 [hep-th]].
[4] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D**80**, 025011 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0902.0590; arXiv:gr-qc/0912.4757; C. Germani, A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, arXiv:gr-qc/0906.1201.
[5] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 181302 (2010) arXiv:gr-qc/0909.3525; JHEP, **1104**, 018 (2011) arXiv:1007.3503.
[6] T. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 251601 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0904.4464; J. High Energy Phys., **10**, 033 (2009) arXiv:gr-qc/0905.2798.
[7] P. Hořava and C.M. Melby-Thompson, Phys. Rev. D**82**, 064027 (2010) arXiv:gr-qc/1007.2410.
[8] T. Zhu, Q. Wu, A. Wang and F.-W. Shu, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 101502 (R) (2011) arXiv:gr-qc/1108.1237.
[9] T. Zhu, F.-W. Shu, Q. Wu and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**85**, 044053 (2012) arXiv:gr-qc/ 1110.5106.
[10] See, especially, Chapter 2 in: V. H. Satheeshkumar, arXiv:1507.03175 [gr-qc].
[11] J. J. Greenwald, V.H. Satheeshkumar, and A. Wang, JCAP, **12** , 007 (2010) arXiv:gr-qc/1010.3794; J. Greenwald, J. Lenells, J. X. Lu, V. H. Satheeshkumar, and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 084040 (2011) arXiv:gr-qc/1105.4259; J. Greenwald, J. Lenells, V. H. Satheeshkumar and A. Wang, [arXiv:1304.1167 [hep-th]].
[12] K. Lin, S. Mukohyama, A. Wang and T. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084022 (2014).
[13] O. Goldoni, M.F.A. da Silva, G. Pinheiro and R. Chan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, **23**, 1450068 (2014).
[14] O. Goldoni, M.F.A. da Silva, G. Pinheiro and R. Chan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, **24**, 1550021 (2015).
[15] O. Goldoni, M.F.A. da Silva and R. Chan, Gen. Relat. Grav., **48**, 20 (2016).
[16] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1973), p. 484-528.
[17] I. Bengtsson and J.M.M. Senovilla, Phys. rev. D**79**, 024027 (2009).
[18] K. Lin and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**87**, 084041 (2013).